

T H E S E U S

**Tank-Vehicles with Maximum Attainable Safety
through Experimental Accident Simulation**

Final Summary Report

Technical Inspection Board (TÜV) Rhineland, Traffic Safety Institute

Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing (BAM)

DEKRA, Accident Research

Daimler-Benz AG, Research Institute Mercedes-Benz (F1)

Cologne University, Institute for Traffic Science

Federal Institute for Highway Research (BASt)

Anton Ellinghaus GmbH & Co. KG

Cologne, March 1995

sponsored by

**The Federal Ministry of Education,
Science, Research
and Technology**

BMBF

The present study was sponsored by the Federal Ministry for Education, Science, Research and Technology (BMBF).

Responsibility for the contents of this report lies with the authors.

The Federal Ministry for Education, Science, Research and Technology provides no warranty, expressed or implied, and in particular accepts no responsibility for the correctness, accuracy and completeness of the information provided nor does it guarantee the observance of the private rights of any third party.

These documents are protected by copyright. The rights established thereby, particularly the rights to the revision, reprinting and passing on to third parties remain with the author. Any use of the document in the above sense by a third party requires prior approval by the author.

Foreword

In the spring of 1990, the Traffic Safety Institute of the Technical Inspection Board (TÜV) Rhineland in Cologne together with the Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing (BAM) in Berlin were commissioned by the Federal Ministry for Research with performing the research project "Tank-vehicles with maximum attainable safety through experimental accident simulation (THESEUS)". The project was performed in cooperation with the following sub-contractors:

DEKRA, Accident Research, Stuttgart

Daimler Benz AG, Stuttgart

Cologne University, Institute for Traffic Science

Federal Institute for Highway Research (BASt), Bergisch Gladbach

Anton Ellinghaus GmbH & Co. KG, Beckum

The Final Summary Report on the THESEUS Project details the methods, test procedures and mathematical methods applied during the course of the work and includes examples of significant results as well as the conclusions drawn from the great number of individual results. Further, a detailed partial report containing the individual results was prepared for each work package. These partial reports may be obtained on request from the following institutions:

Evaluation of accident data:

DEKRA, Unfallforschung, 70560 Stuttgart

TÜV Rheinland e.V., Institut für Verkehrssicherheit, 51101 Köln

Vehicle-vehicle crash:

DEKRA, Unfallforschung, 70560 Stuttgart

Overturn test:

TÜV Rheinland e.V., Institut für Verkehrssicherheit, 51101 Köln

Tank-deformation simulation:

Daimler Benz AG, Forschungsinstitut Mercedes-Benz (F1), 70546 Stuttgart

Investigation of tank components:

BAM, Unter den Eichen 87, 12205 Berlin

Tilt test:

DEKRA, Unfallforschung, 70560 Stuttgart

Drive test:

TÜV Rheinland e.V., Institut für Verkehrssicherheit, 51101 Köln

Simulation of driving dynamics:

Daimler Benz AG, Forschungsinstitut Mercedes-Benz (F1), 70546 Stuttgart

Benefit-cost:

Universität Köln, Institut für Verkehrswissenschaften, Universitätsstr. 22, 50937 Köln

The beneficiaries wish to express their gratitude towards all who have made the THESEUS Project possible, have supported it or with whose help its progress was promoted.

The Federal Ministry of Defence, represented by the Federal Office for Defence Technology and Procurement in Coblenz,

Departments of the Interior of the States, represented by the police stations,

Landscape Association Rhineland, represented by the Rhine Autobahn Office in Krefeld,

as well as the following companies:

MAN Nutzfahrzeuge AG, Munich

Otto Sauer Achsenfabrik Keilberg, Bessenbach-Keilberg,

Uniroyal Englebert Reifen GmbH, Aachen,

Rohr-Kassbohrer Fahrzeugwerke GmbH, Neu-Ulm

Cologne, March 1995

Brain trust

The final summary report was discussed by a brain trust convened by the BMBF. The beneficiaries express their gratitude for the valuable suggestions and constructive collaboration. Members of the brain trust were:

| Name | Institution |
|-------------|---|
| Bäumler | HUK-Verband |
| Cichowicz | Raab Karcher Spedition Umschlag GmbH |
| Geißler | Mercedes-Benz AG |
| Gerner | Fahrzeugbau Haller GmbH |
| Grammig | Verband der Automobilindustrie e.V. (VDA - Association of the automobile industry) |
| Hahn | MAN Nutzfahrzeuge GmbH |
| Hole | Federal Ministry for Transport |
| Hartwig | Bergische Universität, Wuppertal |
| Kröger | German Shell AG |
| Mühe | IVECO Magirus AG |
| Thomaneck | Eil Oil AG |
| Unbereit | Hoyer GmbH |
| Walter | Bundesverband des Deutschen Güterverkehrs e.V. (BDF - Federal association of German freight traffic) |
| Pfeiffer | Rohr-Kässbohrer |
| Sasse | Mineralölwirtschaftsverband e.V. (Association of the oil industry) |
| Triebel | Ministry for Urban Development NRW |

Authors of the final summary report

BAM
Günther, Burkhard
Schulz-Forberg, Bernd

BASt
Hundhausen, Gerd

Daimler-Benz AG
Hempel, Helmut
Hipp, Werner
Rauh, Jochen

DEKRA
Berg, F. Alexander
Epple, Jörg
Grandel, Jürgen
Niewöhner, Walter
Schmitt, Bernhard

Ellinghaus
Neumann, Hans-Jürgen
Tonnesen, Hans Eggert

Cologne University, Institute for Traffic Science
Baum, Herbert
Herion, Erhard

TÜV Rhineland
Heuser, Gerd
Husemann, Thomas
Rompe, Klaus
Schindler, Andreas
Schmitz, Achim

Contents

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 1 | Overall objectives of the THESEUS Project | 1 |
| 2 | Structure of the accident incidence with tank vehicles | 6 |
| 2.1 | Purpose of the accident survey | 6 |
| 2.2 | Methodology and data material surveyed | 8 |
| 2.3 | Information content of material | 10 |
| 2.3.1 | Total accidents involving vehicles with dangerous substances in the FR of Germany | 10 |
| 2.3.1.1 | Total accidents with dangerous substances | 11 |
| 2.3.1.2 | Accidents with dangerous materials in which injuries were sustained | 11 |
| 2.3.1.3 | Accidents in which dangerous materials were released | 12 |
| 2.4 | Comparison of various surveys | 13 |
| 2.4.1 | Comparison of THESEUS data with StBA data | 13 |
| 2.4.2 | Comparison of THESEUS data with the BASt study 1993 [4] | 13 |
| 2.4.3 | Comparison with the "Safety Analysis in Goods transport by Road" study | 14 |
| 2.4.4 | Autobahn study | 14 |
| 2.5 | Summary evaluation of accident data | 16 |
| 2.6 | General data on accident incidences | 23 |
| 2.6.1 | Environment | 23 |
| 2.6.2 | Vehicle | 24 |
| 2.6.3 | Tank protection facilities | 26 |
| 2.6.4 | Driver | 26 |
| 2.7 | Sequence of accident events (accident parameters) | 28 |
| 2.8 | Effects of accident | 32 |
| 2.8.1 | Effects of accident on tank vehicle and on the tank-vehicle driver | 32 |
| 2.8.2 | Effects of accident on tank | 33 |
| 2.8.3 | Main damage position | 36 |
| 2.8.4 | Causes of dangerous substances being released | 39 |
| 2.9 | Comparison of tank vehicle types | 40 |
| 2.9.1 | General evaluations | 40 |
| 2.9.2 | Evaluation of damage to vehicles | 42 |
| 2.9.3 | Tank forms and materials | 44 |
| 2.9.4 | Standard accidents with vehicle types | 48 |
| 2.10 | Summary discussion of accident evaluations | 51 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| 3 | Driving dynamics | 52 |
| 3.1 | Significance of commercial vehicles overturning in accidents | 52 |
| 3.2 | Reason for comparative studies on the characteristics of the driving dynamics of tank vehicles and main questions formulated | 52 |
| 3.3 | Overview of vehicles | 53 |
| 3.4 | Payloads | 56 |
| 3.5 | Tilt tests | 56 |
| 3.5.1 | Procedure for tilt tests | 58 |
| 3.5.2 | Results of tilt tests | 59 |
| 3.6 | Drive tests | 63 |
| 3.6.1 | Procedure for tests | 63 |
| 3.6.2 | Driving maneuvers | 64 |
| 3.6.2.1 | Steady circular course driving | 64 |
| 3.6.2.2 | Sine-wave steer angle | 65 |
| 3.6.2.3 | Single lane change | 65 |
| 3.6.3 | Measurement equipment for vehicle | 66 |
| 3.6.4 | Results of tests and evaluations | 67 |
| 3.6.4.1 | Steady circular course driving | 67 |
| 3.6.4.1.1 | Influence of vehicle payload | 67 |
| 3.6.4.1.2 | Influence of auto-tracking dead steering axle | 71 |
| 3.6.4.2 | Tilt limits during steady circular course driving | 73 |
| 3.6.4.3 | Sine-wave steer angle | 73 |
| 3.6.4.3.1 | Influence of vehicle payload | 73 |
| 3.6.4.3.2 | Influence of vehicle design | 75 |
| 3.6.4.3.3 | Influence of auto-tracking dead steering axle | 77 |
| 3.6.4.4 | Single lane change | 77 |
| 3.6.5 | Results of tests on driving dynamics | 83 |
| 3.7 | Simulation of driving dynamics | 86 |
| 3.7.1 | Simulation model | 86 |
| 3.7.2 | Vehicle description and parametrization | 87 |
| 3.7.3 | Application and verification of simulation calculation | 88 |
| 3.7.3.1 | Overturn tests | 88 |
| 3.7.3.2 | Tilt tests | 89 |
| 3.7.3.3 | Tests on driving dynamics | 90 |
| 3.7.3.3.1 | Steady circular course driving | 90 |
| 3.7.3.3.2 | Sine-wave steer angle | 91 |
| 3.7.3.3.3 | Single lane change | 93 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 3.7.3.3.4 | Step steering input | 93 |
| 3.7.3.4 | Relationship tilt - circular course driving | 96 |
| 3.7.3.5 | Variant studies and notes on hypotheses | 97 |
| 3.8 | Results of driving dynamics | 100 |
| 4 | Experimental accident simulation | 102 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 102 |
| 4.2 | Specification of research parameters | 103 |
| 4.2.1 | Results obtained from the evaluation of accident data | 103 |
| 4.2.2 | Choice of tank vehicle | 105 |
| 4.3 | Measurement equipment | 106 |
| 4.4 | Overview of test | 109 |
| 4.4.1 | Vehicle test program / Vehicle crash | 109 |
| 4.4.2 | Overturn test | 112 |
| 4.5 | Results | 117 |
| 4.5.1 | Results of vehicle/vehicle crashes | 117 |
| 4.5.1.1 | Crash tests with head-on impact of lorry against the rear end of the tank vehicle for analyzing stresses in tank ends | 117 |
| 4.5.1.2 | Crash tests with head-on impact of lorry against the side of the tank vehicle for analyzing stresses in tank shells | 128 |
| 4.5.1.3 | Crash tests with head-on impact of lorry against the standard rear-end underdrive guard | 138 |
| 4.5.1.4 | Crash tests with head-on impact of lorry against standard lateral extensions | 140 |
| 4.5.1.5 | Head-on tank-vehicle impact against fixed, stationary, rigid barrier | 141 |
| 4.5.2 | Evaluation of results obtained with overturn tests | 145 |
| 4.5.2.1 | Overturn without longitudinal speed components | 145 |
| 4.5.2.2 | Overturn with longitudinal speed and free space for sliding to a halt | 149 |
| 4.5.2.3 | Overturn with secondary impact | 156 |
| 4.5.2.4 | Overturn with direct impact against obstacle | 159 |
| 4.6 | Results of experimental accident simulations | 161 |
| 5 | Impact protection for rear end and sides of tank vehicles | 163 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 163 |
| 5.2 | Preliminary ideas on improving impact protection | 163 |
| 5.3 | Rear impact protection | 164 |
| 5.3.1 | Calculations | 164 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 5.3.2 | Engineering solutions | 164 |
| 5.3.3 | Experimental study of rear impact protection | 165 |
| 5.4 | Lateral impact protection | 166 |
| 5.4.1 | Engineering solutions | 168 |
| 5.4.2 | Experimental study of lateral impact protection | 168 |
| 5.5 | Summary | 169 |
| 6 | Tank component study | 170 |
| 6.1 | Purpose and aims of the studies | 170 |
| 6.2 | Tests and test methods | 171 |
| 6.2.1 | Experimental accident analysis | 171 |
| 6.2.2 | Results of experimental accident simulation | 175 |
| 6.3 | Results of experimental studies | 178 |
| 6.3.1 | Deformation and failure behavior of statically and dynamically stressed tank components | 178 |
| 6.3.2 | Test for suitability of tank lining | 185 |
| 6.3.3 | Tests with accident-proof tanks | 185 |
| 6.4 | Mathematical simulation of selected stress conditions | 187 |
| 6.4.1 | Statement of relevant failure criteria | 187 |
| 6.4.2 | Specification of suitable measured quantities for comparison with the simulation calculation | 190 |
| 6.4.3 | Comparison between calculated and measured results | 192 |
| 6.5 | Evaluation of existing test methods, engineering requirements and general design criteria when considering stresses resulting from accident-like incidences | 195 |
| 6.5.1 | Technical directive for tanks TRT 019 [22] | 199 |
| 6.5.2 | Technical directive TRT 003 [23] "Static and dynamic stresses/decisive pressure" | 201 |
| 6.5.3 | Technical directive TRTC 002 [24] "Tank form" | 202 |
| 6.5.4 | Longitudinal all-round protection (reinforcement "belly" band) | 203 |
| 6.5.5 | Technical directive TRTF 001 [26] | 205 |
| 6.5.5.1 | "Equivalence formula" or "Cube-root formula" | 205 |
| 6.5.5.2 | Evaluation of TRTF 001 | 207 |
| 6.5.6 | Notes on safety relating to existing test methods and proposals for new test methods | 208 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 7 | Benefit-cost analysis | 213 |
| 7.1 | Specifications for technical content of measures | 213 |
| 7.2 | Determination of costs | 214 |
| 7.3 | Evaluation of benefits | 215 |
| 7.4 | Results of benefit-cost analysis | 219 |
| 8 | Summary of main insights and prospects | 222 |
| 8.1 | The most important accident situations | 222 |
| 8.2 | Notes and test criteria for safe driving characteristics | 224 |
| 8.3 | Damage and failure mechanisms in tests with collisions and overturns | 225 |
| 8.4 | Proposals for improving tank designs | 227 |
| 8.5 | Prospects | 229 |
| | References | 232 |